(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)
CAMINER	*#ARY E)	CAMIN
BRIAN WERNER PRIMARY EXAMINER	SRIAN WE	
·		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ry by the examiner appears in	i Fait II above.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate		
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	record of the substance of th	e interview, since the interview
Part III.		
See Continuation Sheet	TRAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	43 DI3CU33ED.
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	EDAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUISSED:
Prior art documents discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6,19,25,32		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part I.		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	am o roprocomanyo,	
☐ Video Conference	ant's representative)	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic		
Date of Interview: <u>21 October 2005</u>	Time:	
(2) Patrick D. McPherson (Reg. 46,255).	(4)	
(1) <u>Christopher L. Lavin</u> .	(3)	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>A</u>	<u>llowed</u>
	Christopher L. Lavin	2621
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	09/889,600	FRISCHHOLZ, ROBERT
	Application No.	Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims as amended on 10/03/05 brought the applicant close to allowance; however, one of the independent claims was still not allowable. Therefore the examiner suggested an amendment which would put claim 32 in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to this change as well as several minor corrections all of which can be seen in the examiner's amendment.

BRIAN WERNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER